





It

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/499,592	02/07/2000	James P. Jackson	M-7876 US	M-7876 US 1483	
24251 7:	590 12/24/2002				
SKJERVEN MORRILL LLP			EXAMINER		
25 METRO DRIVE SUITE 700			JONES, SCOTT E		
SAN JOSE, CA	A 95110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3713	1,	
			DATE MAILED: 12/24/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}$.			
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
• •	09/499,592	JACKSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Scott E. Jones	3713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 C</u>	October 2002 .				
	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	_				
4) Claim(s) 23-92 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-92</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 February 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/499,592

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on October 16, 2002 in which applicant cancels claims 1-22, adds new claims 23-92, and responds to the claim rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 23-46, 56-57, and 91-92 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Regarding Claims 23, 35, 56, 57, 70, 91, and 92, the limitation, "...if the wager is a second wager amount, the second wager amount being larger than the first wager amount." is not supported by the specification. In fact, the specification clearly indicates that the first and second wager amounts are equal in each embodiment described in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/499,592

Art Unit: 3713

5. Claims 23-92 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wood et al. (U.S. 5,868,619).

The rejection as applied to claims 1-13, and 15-22 in Office Action, Paper No. 8 is retained and incorporated herein.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed October 16, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 7. Applicant alleges new independent claims 23, 35, 58, and 70 distinguish over Wood et al. because "the claims recite, for example, that a first progressive jackpot is paid "if the wager is at least a first wager amount," and by reciting that a second progressive jackpot is paid "if the wager is at least a second wager amount, the second wager amount being larger than the first wager amount." (emphasis added)." However, the noted limitations are not supported by Applicant's specification. Please see the rejection provided above.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Page 4

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott E. Jones whose telephone number is (703) 308-7133. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 A.M. - 5:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Valencia Martin-Wallace can be reached on (703) 308-4119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

SEJ

sei

December 16, 2002

VALENCIA MARTIN-WALLACE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700